AGENDA/MINUTES								
Team Name	Student Success Alliance							
Date	April 6, 2023							
Time	3:45 pm							
Location	L-117 – Cavanaugh Room							

Fac	Facilitator Stephanie Joiner Recorder Tina Stric								trickland		
Team members Prese							esent X				
	Ctambania lainan			America Mandalus	l	Dagana	. Ilaian	Ab	osent O		
Х	Stephanie Joiner		Х	Angie Maddy	Х	Deanna			Lindsay Holmes		
	Randy Thode		Х	Jo Harrington	Х		Steinert	Х	Judy Jacobs		
	Chris Vanderlinde)	Х	Kara Brauer	Х	Amber E		Х	Courtney Metcalf		
X	Christy Huslig		Х	Nolan Esfeld		Myrna F	Perkins	X	Lee Miller		
Gu	ests			T		<u> </u>					
Info	Informational Items										
Topics/Notes								Reporter			
	Sub-Committee	Full Team									
	Progr										
	Reviewed notes from the last meeting.										
				to owners that have alrea							
	Someday this process will be used for people who find items that need to be done. Finding										
	new initiatives.										
		•		, why is it a good idea, w	•						
	problems have been identified, who are the stakeholders (direct & indirect) and who are the										
	participants.										
				ders and participants (inc							
	but will not be held accountable). This team will define stakeholder, participant, Keep the										
	people section										
	Department section includes; Who or what department(s) or people will be impacted or have										
	resources need										
				ege? Howe does it conn				ategic			
	•	_		nd the roles? Those are t							
				ment does not always ha			•				
	Projected timeline clarifying the life cycle. How long will this initiative go? Is this training or										
	•			to function institutionaliz			nstitution, or wi	ll it be			
				nen does it become instit				_			
	What are the limitations on capacity? The number of people controlling the initiative. Core										
	team, putting cap on number of people, smaller groups get more done.										
	Are there competitors and who are they? If there is another program running. We are not										
			nighei	r education. Set criteria,	it othe	r people (offer this servic	e, what			
	makes us disti			.							
				of collecting data over t			•				
	, ,			Record data monthly, but	it do n	ot report	till the end of t	ne year.			
	Measure, evalu	uate, repo	ort								

- Do people have a hypothesis of what is going to come out of the imitative? Angie gave examples of how this applied in her teaching and pointed out that none of them were bad goals. Not all students go to college to go to something, but to get away from something.
- Race key model making better and making more meaningful, started in instructional reviews. Every instructional program is evaluated every other year. Implement some review for initiatives.
- Angle is going to take additional language that was given today and type it out. We were each going to be tasked with before June meeting to produce ideas. Run a pilot to see if verbiage is understandable. Do you believe this initiative has met the goals? Did you meet your smart goals?
- Quality vs. Quantity grading. It may not look great on paper, but did a kid come back due to initiative. Open ended questions at the end to help properly gauge the success. Did your market/demographic change?
 - Data Dictionary meet in L-117 (Cavanaugh Room)
- Completed five definitions
- Infrastructure Table Cavanaugh Room
 - What can be removed
- This table shows inhibitors to student success and what can be addressed.
- Student survey identifying why the attended Barton and goals. Financial aid suspension processes.
- ❖ Looking at the list and seeing if progress was made or add to list.
- SSA is not responsible for fixing all these problems. Who do we go to with the problems that have been identified?
- ❖ Enrollment Process Currently Red, initial team never went back and updated. has been at least partially addressed, but not entirely. Multiple processes, places and how To's. This became known as the result of an audit. EAB, enroll now have begun working to address. Send students pictures and names of advisors that they can advise with. This is now yellow, enroll now will continue to work on this.
- Communication Plan Communications department is currently investigating the messages that go out to students from inquiry stage to completion of program by the institutional departments and defining purpose for each message. This is currently being addressed. Moves to Green from Red.
- ❖ Instructor Feedback/Grading ***Percentage of non-updated grades? Are there best practices (High impact practices UMKC). Grading policies should reflect time frame check syllabus template in concourse. This may be in progress. Matt Connell This is now being addressed, Move color level to green.

Next meetining will be June 1st. Meeting adjourned

- What needs to be added
- Plan for addressing
- Next Meeting:

Full Team

 May – Subcommittees host next working meeting on their schedule Full Alliance meeting: Thursday, June 1, 4 pm 	
Action Items	Responsibility
 Look at what's in the concourse template on Instructor Feedback/Grading and determine where this should go for clarification. 	
 Groups meet individually between now and June 1st to keep making progress. 	
•	
•	
•	

ALWAYS KEEPING IN MIND:

ENDS:

FUNDAMENTAL SKILLS WORK PREPAREDNESS ACADEMIC ADVANCEMENT CONTINGENCY PLANNING BARTON EXPERIENCE REGIONAL WORKFORCE NEEDS BARTON SERVICE AND REGIONAL LOCATIONS STRATEGIC PLANNING

Barton Core Priorities/Strategic Plan Goals

Drive Student Success Cultivate Community Engagement Optimize the Barton Experience Emphasize Institutional Effectiveness