

NATIONAL INITIATIVE FOR LEADERSHIP & INSTITUTIONAL EFFECTIVENESS

706 Hillsborough Street | Raleigh, NC 27603

Barton County Community CollegeGreat Bend, Kansas

PACE Custom Report

PACE Climate Survey for Community Colleges

Lead Researchers
Emily R. VanZoest &

Greyson A. B. Norcross

Conducted

September & October 2020



NATIONAL INITIATIVE FOR LEADERSHIP & INSTITUTIONAL EFFECTIVENESS

Research Team

Audrey J. Jaeger, Ph.D.

Executive Director

Daniel R. West

Research Associate

Andrea L. DeSantis

Assistant Director of Research

Emily R. VanZoest

Research Associate

Greyson A. B. Norcross

Research Associate

Additional Report Editors

Renee Barger

Research Associate

Monique Colclough, Ph.D.

Senior Research Associate

Melissa Whatley, Ph.D.

Senior Research Associate

Phone

(919)515-8567

Fax

(919)515-6305

Web

nilie.ncsu.edu

Email

pace_survey@ncsu.edu

North Carolina State University

706 Hillsborough Street Raleigh, NC 27603

Suggested Citation: National Initiative for Leadership & Institutional Effectiveness, North Carolina State University. PACE Climate Survey for Community Colleges Custom Report, by VanZoest, E. R., & Norcross, G. A. B. Raleigh, NC: 2020.

Table of C	ontents	Page
Table 1.	Custom Items Frequency Distributions	1
Table 2.	Custom Item Mean Comparisons	4
Table 3.	Custom Demographic Frequency Distributions	5
Table 4.	Institutional Structure Mean Comparisons by Employment Location	6
Table 5.	Student Focus Item Mean Comparisons by Employment Location	7
Table 6.	Supervisory Relationships Item Mean Comparisons by Employment Location	8
Table 7.	Teamwork Item Mean Comparisons by Employment Location	9
Table 8.	Overall Item Mean Comparisons by Employment Location	10
Table 9.	Institutional Structure Mean Comparisons by Primary Role	11
Table 10.	Student Focus Item Mean Comparisons by Primary Role	12
Table 11.	Supervisory Relationships Item Mean Comparisons by Primary Role	13
Table 12.	Teamwork Item Mean Comparisons by Primary Role	14
Table 13.	Overall Item Mean Comparisons by Primary Role	15
Table 14.	Institutional Structure Mean Comparisons by Status	16
Table 15.	Student Focus Item Mean Comparisons by Status	17
Table 16.	Supervisory Relationships Item Mean Comparisons by Status	18
Table 17.	Teamwork Item Mean Comparisons by Status	19
Table 18.	Overall Item Mean Comparisons by Status	20

Table 1. Custom Items Frequency Distributions

		ВС	CCC	20)18
Custom Items	Response Option	Count	%	Count	%
The extent to which					
1 I believe Barton students acquire the skills needed	l Very dissatisfied	0	0%	2	1%
to be successful in their academic program	Dissatisfied	4	2%	8	3%
	Neither	20	9%	31	11%
	Satisfied	107	47%	154	52%
	Very satisfied	99	43%	99	34%
	Total	230	100%	294	100%
2 I believe Barton develops strategies to identify	Very dissatisfied	2	1%	5	2%
and address on-going workforce needs	Dissatisfied	6	3%	3	1%
	Neither	25	11%	43	15%
	Satisfied	98	42%	127	45%
	Very satisfied	103	44%	105	37%
	Total	234	100%	283	100%
3 I believe Barton builds effective partnerships to	Very dissatisfied	1	0%	4	1%
address workforce needs	Dissatisfied	4	2%	5	2%
	Neither	27	12%	45	16%
	Satisfied	89	39%	120	43%
	Very satisfied	108	47%	104	37%
	Total	229	100%	278	100%
4 I believe Barton is a leader of economic	Very dissatisfied	2	1%	8	3%
development in the community	Dissatisfied	8	4%	11	4%
	Neither	32	14%	48	17%
	Satisfied	87	38%	118	42%
	Very satisfied	99	43%	93	33%
	Total	228	100%	278	100%

		BCCC		20)18
Custom Items (continued)	Response Option	Count	%	Count	%
The extent to which					
5 I believe Barton provides individuals access to	Very dissatisfied	0	0%	2	1%
enriching activities and events (music and theater	Dissatisfied	0	0%	3	1%
performances, gallery exhibits, athletic events,	Neither	21	9%	18	6%
etc.)	Satisfied	96	42%	131	46%
	Very satisfied	112	49%	130	46%
	Total	229	100%	284	100%
6 I believe Barton pursues the College Vision with	Very dissatisfied	1	0%	4	1%
innovative and outstanding people, programs, and	Dissatisfied	6	3%	5	2%
services	Neither	33	14%	40	14%
	Satisfied	87	38%	143	49%
	Very satisfied	105	45%	100	34%
	Total	232	100%	292	100%
7 unacceptable employee behaviors are addressed in	Very dissatisfied	9	4%	26	9%
a timely manner by the appropriate supervisor,	Dissatisfied	27	12%	33	12%
including the College's administrative personnel	Neither	49	22%	66	23%
	Satisfied	70	32%	90	32%
	Very satisfied	65	30%	67	24%
	Total	220	100%	282	100%
8 I believe the college has provided information for	Very dissatisfied	1	0%	5	2%
an understanding of my role in accreditation	Dissatisfied	11	5%	9	3%
	Neither	43	19%	61	22%
	Satisfied	79	35%	102	38%
	Very satisfied	91	40%	95	35%
	Total	225	100%	272	100%

		BCCC		20)18
Custom Items (continued)	Response Option	Count	%	Count	%
The extent to which					
9 I feel a connection in contributing to accreditation	Very dissatisfied	2	1%	7	3%
efforts	Dissatisfied	9	4%	11	4%
	Neither	56	26%	67	25%
	Satisfied	69	32%	97	36%
	Very satisfied	79	37%	84	32%
	Total	215	100%	266	100%

Table 2. Custom Item Mean Comparisons

		ВС	BCCC		2018	
	Custom Items	N	Mean	Mean	Sig.	Effect size
The	extent to which					
1	I believe Barton students acquire the skills needed to be successful in their academic program	230	4.309	4.156	*	.206
2	I believe Barton develops strategies to identify and address on-going workforce needs	234	4.256	4.145		
3	I believe Barton builds effective partnerships to address workforce needs	229	4.306	4.133	*	.211
4	I believe Barton is a leader of economic development in the community	228	4.197	3.996	*	.218
5	I believe Barton provides individuals access to enriching activities and events (music and theater performances, gallery exhibits, athletic events, etc.)	229	4.397	4.352		
6	I believe Barton pursues the College Vision with innovative and outstanding people, programs, and services	232	4.246	4.130		
7	unacceptable employee behaviors are addressed in a timely manner by the appropriate supervisor, including the College's administrative personnel	220	3.705	3.493	*	.178
8	I believe the college has provided information for an understanding of my role in accreditation	225	4.102	4.004		
9	I feel a connection in contributing to accreditation efforts	215	3.995	3.902		

Table 3. Custom Demographic Frequency Distributions

		ВС	CCC	20	18
Demographic Items	Response Option	Count	%	Count	%
1 What is your primary employment	Great Bend	167	71%	188	62%
location?	Grandview Plaza	4	2%	14	5%
	Fore Riley/Fort Leavenworth	18	8%	31	10%
	Military School	6	3%	7	2%
	Online	29	12%	42	14%
	Other Locations	12	5%	20	7%
	Total	236	100%	302	100%
2 Select the category which best	Not an Instructor	109	47%	126	42%
identifies your primary instructional	Great Bend - Academic Transfer	27	12%	41	14%
role:	Great Bend - Technical & Workforce	34	15%	34	11%
	Grandview Plaza	3	1%	11	4%
	Fort Riley - Academic Transfer	6	3%	11	4%
	Fort Riley - Military School	5	2%	15	5%
	Fort Leavenworth	6	3%	5	2%
	Remote Location - High School	7	3%	13	4%
	Remote Location - BOL	33	14%	43	14%
	Total	230	100%	299	100%
3 Your status at this institution is:	Full time	178	75%	214	71%
	Part time	12	5%	13	4%
	Adjunct	48	20%	74	25%
	Total	238	100%	301	100%

Table 4. Institutional Structure Mean Comparisons by Employment Location

	20	020		2018	
What is your primary employment location?	N	Mean	Mean	Sig.	Effect size
Overall	248	4.009	3.906		
Great Bend	167	3.969	3.918		
Grandview Plaza	4		3.955		
Fore Riley/Fort Leavenworth	18	4.050	3.754		
Military School	6		3.419		
Online	29	4.197	3.986		
Other Locations	12	3.907	4.129		

Table 5. Student Focus Item Mean Comparisons by Employment Location

	20	2020		2018	
What is your primary employment location?	N	Mean	Mean	Sig.	Effect size
Overall	248	4.284	4.190		
Great Bend	167	4.229	4.209		
Grandview Plaza	4		4.030		
Fore Riley/Fort Leavenworth	18	4.384	4.120		
Military School	6		3.774		
Online	29	4.501	4.228		
Other Locations	12	4.307	4.214		

^{*} p <.05, ** p < .01, *** p < .001 -- indicates results redacted for confidentiality

Table 6. Supervisory Relationships Item Mean Comparisons by Employment Location

	20	020		2018	
What is your primary employment location?	N	Mean	Mean	Sig.	Effect size
Overall	247	4.198	4.097		
Great Bend	166	4.198	4.171		
Grandview Plaza	4		4.114		
Fore Riley/Fort Leavenworth	18	4.149	3.967		
Military School	6		3.308		
Online	29	4.210	3.891		
Other Locations	12	4.242	4.384		

Table 7. Teamwork Item Mean Comparisons by Employment Location

	2020		2020 2		
What is your primary employment location?	N	Mean	Mean	Sig.	Effect size
Overall	246	4.183	4.074		
Great Bend	166	4.191	4.151		
Grandview Plaza	4		4.131		
Fore Riley/Fort Leavenworth	17	4.120	3.840		
Military School	6		3.471		
Online	29	4.232	4.014		
Other Locations	12	4.025	4.230		

^{*} p <.05, ** p < .01, *** p < .001 -- indicates results redacted for confidentiality

Table 8. Overall Item Mean Comparisons by Employment Location

	2020			2018		
What is your primary employment location?	N	Mean	Mean	Sig.	Effect size	
Overall	248	4.157	4.056			
Great Bend	167	4.133	4.093			
Grandview Plaza	4		4.042			
Fore Riley/Fort Leavenworth	18	4.170	3.919			
Military School	6		3.486			
Online	29	4.284	4.033			
Other Locations	12	4.130	4.248			

^{*} p <.05, ** p < .01, *** p < .001 -- indicates results redacted for confidentiality

Table 9. Institutional Structure Mean Comparisons by Primary Role

	20	020		2018	
Select the category which best identifies your primary instructional role:	N	Mean	Mean	Sig.	Effect size
Overall	248	4.009	3.906		
Not an Instructor	109	3.929	3.885		
Great Bend - Academic Transfer	27	3.858	3.790		
Great Bend - Technical & Workforce	34	4.032	4.053		
Grandview Plaza	3		4.181		
Fort Riley - Academic Transfer	6		3.915		
Fort Riley - Military School	5		3.220		
Fort Leavenworth	6				
Remote Location - High School	7	4.474	4.260		
Remote Location - BOL	33	4.193	4.054		

^{*} p < .05, ** p < .01, *** p < .001

⁻⁻ indicates results redacted for confidentiality

Table 10. Student Focus Item Mean Comparisons by Primary Role

	2020				
Select the category which best identifies your primary instructional role:	N	Mean	Mean	Sig.	Effect size
Overall	248	4.284	4.190		
Not an Instructor	109	4.194	4.175		
Great Bend - Academic Transfer	27	4.244	4.145		
Great Bend - Technical & Workforce	34	4.280	4.345		
Grandview Plaza	3		4.258		
Fort Riley - Academic Transfer	6		4.182		
Fort Riley - Military School	5		3.653		
Fort Leavenworth	6				
Remote Location - High School	7	4.768	4.312		
Remote Location - BOL	33	4.461	4.229		

^{*} p < .05, ** p < .01, *** p < .001

⁻⁻ indicates results redacted for confidentiality

Table 11. Supervisory Relationships Item Mean Comparisons by Primary Role

2020		2018		
N	Mean	Mean	Sig.	Effect size
247	4.198	4.097		
108	4.176	4.151		
27	4.171	4.027		
34	4.266	4.286		
3		4.341		
6		3.965		
5		3.179		
6				
7	4.607	4.465		
33	4.179	3.997		
	247 108 27 34 3 6 5 7	247 4.198 108 4.176 27 4.171 34 4.266 3 6 5 7 4.607	247 4.198 4.097 108 4.176 4.151 27 4.171 4.027 34 4.266 4.286 3 4.341 6 3.965 5 3.179 6 7 4.607 4.465	247 4.198 4.097 108 4.176 4.151 27 4.171 4.027 34 4.266 4.286 3 4.341 6 3.965 5 3.179 6 7 4.607 4.465

^{*} p <.05, ** p < .01, *** p < .001

⁻⁻ indicates results redacted for confidentiality

Table 12. Teamwork Item Mean Comparisons by Primary Role

	2020		2018		
Select the category which best identifies your primary instructional role:	N	Mean	Mean	Sig.	Effect size
Overall	246	4.183	4.074		
Not an Instructor	108	4.126	4.098		
Great Bend - Academic Transfer	27	4.108	3.965		
Great Bend - Technical & Workforce	34	4.401	4.286		
Grandview Plaza	3		4.412		
Fort Riley - Academic Transfer	6		4.064		
Fort Riley - Military School	5		3.187		
Fort Leavenworth	5				
Remote Location - High School	7	4.310	4.241		
Remote Location - BOL	33	4.174	4.140		

^{*} p < .05, ** p < .01, *** p < .001

⁻⁻ indicates results redacted for confidentiality

Table 13. Overall Item Mean Comparisons by Primary Role

2020		2020 20			2018	
N	Mean	Mean	Sig.	Effect size		
248	4.157	4.056				
109	4.098	4.060				
27	4.080	3.979				
34	4.215	4.225				
3		4.277				
6		4.017				
5		3.316				
6						
7	4.566	4.332				
33	4.256	4.097				
	N 248 109 27 34 3 6 5 6 7	N Mean 248 4.157 109 4.098 27 4.080 34 4.215 3 6 5 7 4.566	N Mean Mean 248 4.157 4.056 109 4.098 4.060 27 4.080 3.979 34 4.215 4.225 3 4.277 6 4.017 5 3.316 6 7 4.566 4.332	N Mean Mean Sig. 248 4.157 4.056 109 4.098 4.060 27 4.080 3.979 34 4.215 4.225 3 4.277 6 4.017 5 3.316 6 7 4.566 4.332		

^{*} p < .05, ** p < .01, *** p < .001

⁻⁻ indicates results redacted for confidentiality

Table 14. Institutional Structure Mean Comparisons by Status

	20	020		8	
Your status at this institution is:	N	Mean	Mean	Sig.	Effect size
Overall	248	4.009	3.906		
Full time	178	3.926	3.845		
Part time	12	4.108	4.285		
Adjunct	48	4.230	4.082		

Table 15. Student Focus Item Mean Comparisons by Status

	2020			2018)18	
Your status at this institution is:	N	Mean	Mean	Sig.	Effect size	
Overall	248	4.284	4.190			
Full time	178	4.220	4.134			
Part time	12	4.364	4.479			
Adjunct	48	4.471	4.284			

Table 16. Supervisory Relationships Item Mean Comparisons by §

	2020				
Your status at this institution is:	N	Mean	Mean	Sig.	Effect size
Overall	247	4.198	4.097		
Full time	177	4.158	4.105		
Part time	12	4.262	4.437		
Adjunct	48	4.270	4.082		

Table 17. Teamwork Item Mean Comparisons by Status

	2020			2018	18	
Your status at this institution is:	N	Mean	Mean	Sig.	Effect size	
Overall	246	4.183	4.074			
Full time	177	4.130	4.077			
Part time	12	4.278	4.551			
Adjunct	47	4.300	4.070			

Table 18. Overall Item Mean Comparisons by Status

	2020			2018	
Your status at this institution is:	N	Mean	Mean	Sig.	Effect size
Overall	248	4.157	4.056		
Full time	178	4.096	4.022		
Part time	12	4.249	4.411		
Adjunct	48	4.312	4.139		